Interview Summary

Application No. 09/461,061 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 1653

McCrae

Hope Robinson

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Hope Robinson (3) Daniel Monaco (2) Christopher Low Date of Interview ____ Mar 13, 2002 b) Video Conference Type: a) X Telephonic c) \square Personal [copy is given to 1) \square applicant 2) \square applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-8, 12-24, and 46-49 Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f(X) was reached. g(X) was not reached. g(X)Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Informed Mr. Monaco that a further restriction is required of the above application because it appears from a visual inspection of the sequences that SEQ ID NO: 1-4 overlap, 5-8 overlap and 9-22. Thus, the elected invention of claims 1-8, 12-24 (and newly added claims 46-49) encompasses over 15 patentably distinct sequences having different structures. Also informed Mr. Monaco that the response to the restriction requirement states that claims 46-48 has been added to the elected invention, however, claims 46-49 have been added to the elected invention. Mr. Monaco indicated that he would have to locate the file and consider the further restriction as this is not a species election. Agreement was made to mail the Analysis restriction requirement. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has

KAREN COCHHANE CARLSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached